510(k) Number:	K092219
Date:	
Page 1 of 4	

510(k) Summary

Introduction MAY 1 2 2010

This summary is intended to comply with requirements of the SMDA and 21CFR§807.92. FDA may make this summary available to the public within 30 days following a finding of substantial equivalence.

510(k) Applicant

Applied Physiology Pty Ltd 119 Willoughby Rd., Crows Nest 2065 Sydney, NSW, Australia Tel: +61 (2) 9956 3838 / Fax: +61 (2) 9439 2157

510(k) Correspondent

Robert N. Clark, President and Senior Consultant Medical Device Regulatory Advisors, Inc. 13605 West 7th Ave., Golden, CO 80401 USA Tel: 303-463-0900 / Fax: 303-558-3833

Date Prepared

April 30, 2010

Trade Name of Device

NavigatorTM

Common Name of Device

Computer, diagnostic, pre-programmed, single-function

Classification Name

Single function pre-programmed diagnostic computer

510(k) Classification

Class II 21 CFR 870.1435

Predicate Devices

The Navigator is substantially equivalent to the following predicate device(s):

- K072735 Pulsion PiCCO₂
- K023960 LiDCOplus Hemodynamic Monitor

Device Description

The Navigator is a physiologically integrated system designed to assist clinicians in the management of the systemic circulatory state in the critically ill patient.

Indications for Use:

The NavigatorTM is indicated for the acquisition, processing, and display of hemodynamic parameters, in order to assist the clinician in achieving and maintaining a prescribed target hemodynamic stability.

Mean Systemic Filling Pressure (P_{ms}) and Heart Efficiency (E_h) parameters are calculated by the Navigator from Cardiac Output (CO), Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) and Right Atrial Pressure (RAP) data, obtained directly from patient monitoring equipment, and other data obtained by manual clinician entry. The Navigator system provides a visual indication of the patient's circulatory status in relation to predetermined goals.

Intended Use

The Navigator TM is valuable in any environment where resuscitation, stabilization and optimization of hemodynamic and oxygen metabolism is required. It provides clinicians with monitoring and support information that assists with management of the circulatory state of critically in patients. The device provides clinicians with a graphical display of monitoring and support information as a visual aid in determining a patient's circulatory state. Navigator TM is used in conjunction with standard monitoring in the following environments including:

- Intensor: care
- OR/an + thesia
- High ... rendency and step-down units
- Emer sy departments
- Coro v care
- Acute core.

This inc: es a broad range of patients (between the ages of 15-90 and weights of 40-150 kilograms with unstable circulations presenting to the intensive care unit (ICU) or critical care units and ose undergoing or suffering from:

- Pre c vost open heart surgery.
- Pre v next major surgery,
- Seda : itients.
- Drug rdose
- Septi ock.
- Rena lure
- Maj₀ rns.
- Majo nima.
- Hyp: mis shock
- Car. iie shock

The dev is applicable to critically ill patients requiring circulatory support in whom MAP,

RAP and O are being monitored regularly.

Risk i nagement

This de has been designed to either completely eliminate or mitigate known health hazards associate with the use of the device. Health hazard risk reduction has been accomplished by rigorous polication of a risk management program according to standard ISO 14971:2007.

Non-Caical Testing

Non-cl' al testing was performed in order to validate the design against the company's specific esign requirements, and to assure conformance with the following voluntary

standare

IEC 60(01-1: Medical Electrical Equipment – Part 1: General Requirements for Safety (1988),

includir .mendment 1 (1991) & Amendment 2 (1995).

BS EN 01-1-2:2002, incorporating amendment A1:2006. This standard is identical with EMC s ard IEC 60601-1-2:2001, incorporating amendment 1:2004: Medical electrical equipm - Part 1-2: General requirements for safety - Collateral Standard: Electromagnetic

Comparative - Requirements and Tests (Edition 2:2001 with Amendment 1:2004).

Rigorous oftware verification and validation testing was successfully performed by an

indeper 't software testing service. Testing included verification and validation to approved Naviga System Requirements, Operational Requirements, and Data Processing Requirements.

The conation of Electrical Safety testing, EMC testing, and Software Verification and provide a high level of confidence that both the Navigator hardware and software are at least afe and effective as the predicate devices.

Clinica Testing

Applies systology Pty Ltd conducted multi-center, open, randomized, controlled human clinical six order to collect safety and efficacy data supporting substantial equivalence.

Methc ogy:

regery, eligible patients were to be randomized on admission to ICU to receive care guided lavigatorTM or conventional care while CO was being monitored. All patients were to be come to the NavigatorTM. The screen of the patients in the control group was to have the graphic ection blank, the right hand side was to display actual values of MAP, CO and Right urb (RAP) as slaved from the bedside monitor, along with the patient's screening and ion number and initials. The arm of the study to which the patient was randomized vigatorTM), was also to be shown.

Numl. | Subjects (planned and analyzed):

Suffici attents were to be consented to allow 100 patients to complete the study (50 in each treatment). A total of 112 patients were enrolled into the study and formed the intent to treat Of these, 107 patients completed the study as planned. A total of 105 patients formed the model of the study and formed the intent to treat (MITT) population (57 patients in the NavigatorTM arm and 48 patient to treat (MITT) population (57 patients in the NavigatorTM with three hours or more of the study and formed the intent to treat to treat (MITT) population (57 patients in the NavigatorTM with three hours or more of the study and formed the intent to treat the study and formed the intent to treat the study as planned. A total of 105 patients formed the model intent to treat (MITT) population (57 patients in the NavigatorTM with three hours or more of the study and formed the intent to treat the study as planned. A total of 105 patients formed the model intent to treat (MITT) population (57 patients in the NavigatorTM with three hours or more of the study and formed the intent to treat (MITT) population (57 patients in the NavigatorTM with three hours or more of the study and formed the intent to treat (MITT) population (57 patients in the NavigatorTM with three hours or more of the study and formed the intent to treat (MITT) population (57 patients in the NavigatorTM with three hours or more of the study and formed the intent to treat (MITT) population (57 patients in the NavigatorTM with three hours or more of the study and formed the study and formed the intent to treat (MITT) population (57 patients in the NavigatorTM with three hours or more of the study and formed the

Effica chults:

The principle of the contral point was the average distance (mean standardized) to the central point of the target of scular zone over the period the patient was connected to the Navigator.

The street in Significant difference between the two treatment arms with regards to the mean standar of Lidistance to the central point of the cardiovascular zone.

Safet stilts:

All 11. exts enrolled in the study were included in the safety evaluation. Adverse events were c extremely from the time that the patient was connected to NavigatorTM until the follow up

visit. S follow	us adverse events were collected from the time the patient was randomized until the isit.
Four h Navig: study.	ed and thirteen (413) adverse events involving 99 patients (52 (88.1%) patients in the derm and 47 (88.7%) patients on the conventional care arm) were reported during the
The me haemo by path have a	equently reported adverse events were anaemia, hyperglycaemia, decreased in, hypotension and abnormal blood glucose. None of the adverse events experienced on the Navigator TM arm or the conventional care arm of the study were considered to ionship to the device.
One parconside events a	was withdrawn from the study due to an adverse event (cardiac tamponade to be serious but not related to the device). Two patients died during the study due to idered to be not related to the device.
Ten (1 comp ¹¹ correct categor	were incidents occurred during the study, but none were associated with any clinical instable occurrences were recognizable by the operator or physician, and necessary were made in the production device. These incidents fell into the following
• Fe occ	ne arrences of Navigator screen buttons or settings that did not function as intended. These were recognizable by the operator.
• Fou dat	-convences of data communication problems with peripheral equipment or failure to display ρ -ipheral equipment.
• On staj	co rrence where a Swan-Ganz catheter appeared to be incorrectly positioned on x-ray and confident of MAP, CO and CI readings.
• One	restrence where a discrepancy was reported with MAP display when an aortic balloon pump te.
Thirty on the consid	s) serious adverse events involving 24 patients (12 on the Navigator™ arm and 12 ional care arm) were reported during the study. No serious adverse events were e device related.
Review frequer	erse events per treatment group, suggested no trends in the presentation (type, severity) of adverse events per study arm.
Conc	
The statistic stand:	• instrated non-inferiority to Navigator over conventional care. There was no inficant difference between the two treatments arms with regards to the mean stance to the central point of the cardiovascular zone.
The incarms, we events	of adverse events and serious adverse events was comparable across the two study- ends in the type, frequency or severity of event. No adverse or serious adverse asidered to be related to Navigator TM .
Devic :	ts which occurred during the study were not associated with any clinical and did not impact on patient safety.
Data; .	nat the use of Navigator™ is safe when compared to conventional ICU care.
Su bsi	I Equivalence
Applier instructeffect to the	plogy Pty Ltd believes that the Navigator is safe and effective when used as nowledgeable and trained personnel. Navigator performs at least as safely and enventional care using predicate devices, and is therefore substantially equivalent a rketed predicate device(s).







Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Avenue Document Control Room –WO66-G609 Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002

MAY 1 2 2010

Applied Physiology Pty., Ltd. c/o-Mr.-Robert-N.-Clark-----

President and Senior Consultant Medical Device Regulatory Advisors, Inc. 4251 Kipling Street, Suite 565 Wheat Ridge, CO 80033-2899

Re: K092219

Device Name: NavigatorTM Clinical Guidance System

Regulation Number: 21 CFR 870.1435

Regulation Name: Single function pre-programmed diagnostic computer

Regulatory Class: Class II (Two)

Product Code: DXG Dated: April 30, 2010 Received: May 7, 2010

Dear Mr. Clark

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Page 2 – Mr. Robert N. Clark

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820); and if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

If you desire specific advice for your device on our labeling regulation (21 CFR Part 801), please go to http://www.fda.gov/AboutFDA/CentersOffices/CDRH/CDRHOffices/ucm115809.htm for the Center for Devices and Radiological Health's (CDRH's) Office of Compliance. Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to

http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/ReportaProblem/default.htm for the CDRH's Office of Surveillance and Biometrics/Division of Postmarket Surveillance.

You may obtain other general information on your responsibilities under the Act from the Division of Small Manufacturers, International and Consumer Assistance at its toll-free number (800) 638-2041 or (301) 796-7100 or at its Internet address http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ResourcesforYou/Industry/default.htm.

Sincerely ours,

Bram D. Zuckerman, M.D.

Director

Division of Cardiovascular Devices

Office of Device Evaluation

Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

Indications for Use

510(k) Number (if known): <u>K092219</u>
Device Name: Navigator TM
Indications for Use:
The Navigator TM is indicated for the acquisition, processing, and display of hemodynamic parameters, in order to assist the clinician in achieving and maintaining a prescribed target hemodynamic stability.
Mean Systemic Filling Pressure (P _{ms}) and Heart Efficiency (E _h) parameters are calculated by the Navigator from Cardiac Output (CO), Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) and Right Atrial Pressure (RAP) data, obtained directly from patient monitoring equipment, and other data obtained by manual clinician entry. The Navigator system provides a visual indication of the patient's circulatory status in relation to predetermined goals.
Prescription Use X AND/OR Over-The-Counter Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D) (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)
(PLEASE DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE - CONTINUE ON ANOTHER PAGE IF NEEDED)
Concurrence of CDRH, Office of Device Evaluation (ODE)
(Division Sign-Off) Division of/Cardiovascular Devices 510(k) Number 109 2215